Noise

We have counseled more than 60 airports—large and small—in connection with the development and implementation of comprehensive noise management, mitigation, and abatement programs. We frequently advise clients on strategies for implementing noise rules and on developing programs for preferential runway use, noise abatement departure procedures, and related voluntary noise abatement programs.

Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell has provided continuing counsel to airport proprietors, local governments, and community organizations on the noise impacts of aircraft flight tracks and routes, on minimizing the impacts from changes in flight tracks and routes, and on modifications of flight tracks and routes for noise management purposes. We have assisted in preparing and updating noise compatibility studies under FAR Part 150 at airports throughout the country. Recently, we were called on regarding the noise implications of new NextGen flight procedures and the rerouting and concentration of aircraft flight tracks in several metropolitan areas.

Our attorneys have been leaders in the area of noise rules before and after enactment of the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (ANCA) and its implementing regulations, FAR Part 161.

Our experience in this area includes:

− Drafting language that was included in ANCA to secure exemptions from the statute for several clients
− Representing an airport proprietor in the adoption of the nation’s first and only noise rule adopted pursuant to ANCA and later in the successful defense of the noise rule in multiple administrative, state court, and federal court proceedings
− Successfully representing a client seeking an exemption from ANCA to allow continuation of longstanding noise rules as well as other clients seeking a legislative exemption when ANCA was enacted
− Assisting clients in lobbying and drafting in connection with seeking special federal legislation to address their unique noise problems
− Drafting and reviewing legislative language on noise-related issues for many of the FAA reauthorization (and much of FAA appropriations) legislation in the United States Congress in the last 25 years

We also represent municipalities and other local governments affected by airport operations in negotiations and litigation over management and mitigation of airport impacts and the equitable allocation of the burdens and benefits of airport development. Our representation of these government clients calls upon our expertise not only in airport legal issues, but also in related matters such as land use regulation, local government law, constitutional law, and federal and state environmental programs. Additionally, we have successfully defended several nuisance and takings cases for noise damages in state and federal courts brought against airport sponsors.

Representative Cases

Riser v. City of Chicago, 2015-L-009955 (Cook Cty., Ill. Dec. 19, 2018), appeal pending (successfully defended airport sponsor in state inverse condemnation litigation concerning construction and use of new runway at O’Hare International Airport)

City of Phoenix v. Huerta, 869 F.3d 963 (D.C. Cir. 2017) (represented airport sponsor in successful challenge to new NextGen routes where FAA did not adequately perform appropriate environmental or historical review)
In the Matter of the Application of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Auth., Cal. Dep’t of Transp. Case No. L2006060064 (Feb. 28, 2008); In the Matter of the Application of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Auth., Cal. Dep’t of Transp. Case No. L-2001110412 (Nov. 20, 2002); In the Matter of the Application of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Auth., Cal. Dep’t of Transp. Case No. L-9701269 (1998) (successfully represented City of Burbank in successive contested hearings under the California’s noise variance process and obtained conditions requiring additional noise mitigation and abatement measures)

Minnesota ex rel. City of Minneapolis v. Minneapolis Airports Commission (Minn. Dist. Ct. 2007) (represented City of Minneapolis in challenge under Minnesota Environmental Rights Act to sound insulation program at Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport; secured settlement providing for sound insulation for 10,000 homes)


Palm Beach Neighborhood Association v. Palm Beach County, No. 98-002739-AG (Fla. Cir. Ct. 1999) (successful defense of takings litigation over aircraft flight tracks)

Representative Matters

- Counseled sponsor of a major hub airport on legal recourse when FAA’s new NextGen flight patterns introduced noise for the first time to highly urbanized historic and low-income communities.
- Assisted in the drafting, enactment, and defense of litigation against the only new noise rule adopted in the United States since enactment of the Airport Noise and Capacity Act (ANCA) in 1990.
- Prepared legislation and defended regulation in federal courts and in administrative proceedings a curfew adopted by a general aviation airport in a resort community in the Northeast.
- Counseled, advised on regulatory compliance, and negotiated with airlines for extension of a terminal use agreement for an airport in the Northeast which resulted from noise litigation brought by neighboring communities.
- Successfully secured legislative exemptions from ANCA for clients in the Midwest and Rocky Mountain states and secured favorable rulings from FAA on the extent of ANCA grandfathering for another airport in the Northeast.