FLORIDA'S NEW "PROMPT PROCESSING" REQUIREMENT



Overview: A New Statutory Addition

Florida has enacted a significant amendment to its Local Government Prompt Payment Act with the addition of Section 218.755, which took effect July 1, 2025. This new provision introduces specific legal obligations for local governmental entities in responding to owner

requested change order price quotes submitted by contractors, an effort aimed at improving efficiency, transparency, and accountability in public procurement.

What Section 218.755 Requires

Under the new statute:

- When a local governmental entity requests a change order and receives a price quote for a change order that conforms to all applicable statutory and contractual requirements, the entity must approve or deny the quote and provide written notice to the contractor within 35 days.
- If the quote is denied, the notice must detail the specific deficiencies and actions needed to remedy them.
- Critically, if the entity fails to issue this notice or fails to specify deficiencies, the entity becomes liable for all additional costs associated with the change order, covering labor, staffing, materials, supplies, equipment, overhead, and other related expenses.
- Furthermore, no contract may include provisions that alter or contract away these duties imposed under Section 218.755.

Comparison with the Existing Prompt Payment Framework

Before Section 218.755, the Local Government Prompt Payment Act (Part VII, ss. 218.70–218.80) already mandated timely payments for goods and construction services:

- Section 218.735 governs payments for construction services, requiring local governmental entities to pay contractors within 20 or 25 business days depending on agency approval requirements (20 days if no agent approval is needed; 25 days if agent approval is required).
- The statute also includes procedures for rejecting improper invoices, resolving disputes, and handling payments to subcontractors and suppliers, who must be paid within 10 days (by the contractor) or 7 days (by the subcontractor).
- Interest on late payments was set at 2% per month, or a contractual rate, whichever was greater.

However, none of these provisions specifically address how quickly a government entity must act on a change order price quote, a gap now addressed directly under Section 218.755.

Implications for Local Governments

- Compliance obligations: They must act timely and clearly, either approving or objecting, in writing within 35-days.
- Risk of cost liability: Failure to meet the requirements can expose the entity to significant financial liability, including expanded overhead and staffing costs.
- No waiver permitted: Contracts cannot override or waive the duties imposed by the new section.

Broader Significance

The new Section 218.755 reflects a broader legislative trend toward faster, more transparent government contracting. It shifts emphasis from purely controlling timing of payments to actively overseeing the processing of contract modifications. By setting a 35-day deadline and imposing liability for failure, the state ensures that change order processing is treated with the same urgency as original contract payments. Overall, this change aims to streamline workflows, reduce uncertainty, and curb hidden cost escalations in local public construction projects.

<u>For Airports:</u> While the statute prohibits contracts from waiving the obligations it imposes, local governments—such as airports—may wish to carefully consider their internal approval procedures for processing change orders, including consideration of change order approval thresholds, timing for approvals, as well as the standards by which completed contractor submittals must be provided including detailed notice protocols, to ensure compliance with the new law.

<u>For Contractors</u>: Contractors should be aware that the 35-day rule is not a guarantee of payment. To take advantage of the statute's protections, contractors must ensure that their change order quotes are complete, timely submitted, and fully compliant with both contractual and statutory requirements. A deficient submittal gives the public owner grounds to deny the change order and may delay approval. Contractors should also anticipate that airports may adopt stricter internal protocols for defining when a submittal is "received" and what constitutes a "conforming" quote or timing requirements for submittals.

About the Authors



Brett Renton is a partner in the Orlando office of Shutts & Bowen LLP. A Certified Circuit Civil Mediator, Brett is a Martindale-Hubbell AV® PreeminentTM rated attorney and has been recognized by *Florida Super Lawyers* in business litigation. Brett represents individuals, companies, corporations, banks and government entities in business litigation/transactions, construction law, landlord-tenant matters, and government/environmental issues. Among other government clients, Brett has represented the Sanford Airport

Authority, the government entity that runs the Orlando Sanford International Airport, for nearly 18 years. Brett has handled compliance issues concerning: financial and document disclosure requirements, public meeting requirements, federal and state grants, the Florida Sunshine Law, employee concerns, construction, environment, eminent domain and inverse condemnation matters.



Stephanie Griffin Mateo is a seasoned attorney at Kaplan Kirsch LLP, where she focuses her practice on infrastructure law. She advises public agencies and airport sponsors on the full spectrum of legal issues associated with the development, construction, financing, and operation of complex infrastructure projects. Stephanie has developed particular experience in airport law, where she counsels numerous Florida airports on major capital programs, public-private partnership

(P3) initiatives, and compliance with the evolving state and federal regulatory landscape.

In addition to her work with airports, Stephanie provides strategic counsel to transit agencies across Florida, including her representation of the Central Florida Commuter Rail Commission on governance, operations, and regulatory compliance matters. Drawing on her broad infrastructure background, she helps public sector clients navigate risk, implement innovative procurement strategies, and advance projects that keep communities moving.

This article was prepared in collaboration with the FAC Legal Committee and FAC Corporate Committee.

This overview provides general background information about publicly available material as of the date of this alert, is intended for educational purposes only and does not replace independent professional judgment. Before acting on any information you should consult with legal counsel. The content of this overview is proprietary and confidential and is not intended to be distributed to third parties without the written consent of the authors.